International Journal of Novel Research in Life Sciences Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

## INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT DOSES OF BORON AND MAGNESIUM ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF BORO RICE CULTIVARS

<sup>1</sup>Md. Billal Hossain Momen, <sup>2</sup>S.M. Abidur Rahman, <sup>3</sup>Most. Nahida Umme Tamim,
 <sup>4</sup>Sakhawat Hossain, <sup>5</sup>Md. Mominul Islam, <sup>6</sup>Md. Robiul Islam,
 <sup>7</sup>Md.Tariful Alam Khan\*

Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, Farming Systems Engineering Laboratory, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, 6205, Bangladesh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12664720

Published Date: 05-July-2024

Abstract: The experiment conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, University of Rajshahi, from January to June 2022, investigated the effects of different doses of boron and magnesium on the growth and yield of boro rice. The study included two rice varieties (BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58) and three treatment levels: control (only recommended NPK), low rate of boron and magnesium (8 kg/ha and 15 kg/ha), and high rate of boron and magnesium (15 kg/ha and 24 kg/ha), arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design. Results showed that BRRI dhan58 outperformed BRRI dhan29 across various growth parameters. BRRI dhan58 recorded the highest values for plant height (89.95 cm), total tillers per hill (17.29), effective tillers per hill (12.48), chlorophyll content (SPAD 46.08), panicle length (20.20 cm), total grains per panicle (164.11), effective grains per panicle (128.67), 1000-grains weight (23.10 g), grain yield (6.27 t/ha), straw yield (7.88 t/ha), and biological yield (14.15 t/ha). Among the treatments, the highest values for similar parameters were observed in the high rate of boron and magnesium treatment (T<sub>3</sub>). For interaction effects, the combination of BRRI dhan58 and high rate of boron and magnesium (V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub>) yielded the best results, including highest plant height (93.54 cm), total tillers per hill (18.11), effective tillers per hill (13.67), chlorophyll content (SPAD 48.45), panicle length (20.65 cm), total grains per panicle (175.11), effective grains per panicle (142.45), 1000-grains weight (23.47 g), grain yield (6.56 t/ha), straw yield (8.24 t/ha), and biological yield (14.79 t/ha). The study concluded that BRRI dhan58, combined with the high rate of boron and magnesium, is the most effective for maximizing yield, recommending this combination for farmers.

Keywords: Boro rice, Boron and magnesium, chlorophyll content, Rice yield.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the most important food crop and a primary food source for more than one-third of world's population (Sarkar et al., 2017). Worldwide, rice provides 27% of dietary energy supply and 20% dietary protein (Kueneman, 2006). It constitutes 95% of the cereal consumed and supplies more than 80% of the calories and about 50% of the protein in the diet of the general people of Bangladesh (Yusuf, 1997). World's rice demand is projected to increase

#### Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

by 25% from 2001 to 2025 to keep pace with population growth (Maclean et al., 2002), and therefore, meeting this ever increasing rice demand in a sustainable way with shrinking natural resources is a great challenge. There are three distinct growing seasons of rice in Bangladesh, according to changes in seasonal conditions such as Aus, Aman and Boro. More than half of the total production (55.50 %) is obtained in Boro season occurring in December-May, second largest production in Aman season (37.90 %) occurring in July-November and little contribution from Aus season (6.60 %) occurring in April-June (APCAS, 2016). Among three growing seasons (Aus, Aman and Boro) boro rice is the most important rice crops for Bangladesh with respect to its high yield and contribution to rice production. Farmers of Bangladesh apply N, P, K and S fertilizers widely and application of micronutrients, such as, Zn, Cu, Mn and B is not a usual practice. Soils inadequate in their supply of micronutrients are alarmingly far reaching across the globe because of intensive cropping, loss of fertile topsoil and losses of nutrients through leaching (Somani, 2008). When micronutrients are in short supply, the growth and yield of crops are severely depressed (IPNI, 2014). Hence, application of nutrients particularly micronutrient are of critical importance for sustaining high productivity of rice in Bangladesh. Boron has been associated with one or more of the following processes: calcium utilization, cell division, flowering/reproductive phase, water relations, disease resistance, and nitrogen (N) metabolism (Ahmad et al. 2009). Boron deficiency in rice induces panicle sterility due to poor pollen and anther development and failed pollen germination and alters cell wall pectin in pollen tubes (Yang et al. 1999) which reduces the number of grains per panicle and, therefore, grain yield (Gowri, 2005). Moreover, B deficiency in rice not only reduces paddy yield but also damages grain quality (Rashid et al. 2007). Magnesium (Mg) is the fourth most abundant nutrient element after nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), has irreplaceable effects on the crop physiology and plays key roles in plant defense mechanisms to abiotic stress (Senbayram et al., 2015). Mg is the main component of chlorophyll and has a high impact on photosynthesis, enzyme activation, and the formation and utilization of ATP. Furthermore, Mg plays an essential role in phloem loading and transport of photoassimilates into sink organs, mainly at the grain filling stage, which is crucial for grain yields (Ruan et al., 2012). Consequently, maintaining sufficient Mg availability is important for plant growth. Mg is mainly obtained from the soil through the root system. Therefore, only sufficient soil Mg can ensure the supply of this nutrient for plant growth. Studies on Boron and Magnesium fertilizer proved that the application of B and Zn greatly influences growth, yield and quality of rice (Raimani and Singh, 2015). Keeping this in view, the present research was undertaken the following objectives: To study the effect of boron and magnesium on growth and yield of BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58. To evaluate the performance of BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58 using various boron and magnesium fertilizer dosages.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, during the period from January 2020 to June 2020 to investigate the influence of different doses of boron and magnesium on growth and yield of boro rice. The materials used and the methods followed during the experimental period are described in this chapter. A brief description of the experimental site, soil, Climate, experimental design, treatments, cultural operations, data collection, and their statistical analysis are narrated under the following heads.

**Location and soil:** The study was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, Rajshahi University, spanning from December 2019 to March 2020. The experimental site featured sandy loam textured soil with a pH level of 7.6.

**Climate:** The experimental field was situated within a subtropical climate, distinguished by moderately high temperatures and substantial rainfall throughout the kharif season (November-March). Conversely, during the Rabi season (November to March), the region experienced sparse rainfall coupled with moderately low temperatures.

Variety and Experimental treatments: BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58 were used in the present experiment. BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58 were collected from Bangladesh rice research institute(BRRI), Regional Station, shyampur, Rajshahi.

**Cultivation techniques**: Healthy seeds were soaked for 24 hours, sprouted in darkness, and sown in a prepared seedbed in January 2022. The seedbed was maintained with weeding, irrigation, and pest protection. For transplanting, the field was initially flooded to rot weeds, then ploughed and leveled. The final preparation for transplanting occurred on 26 February

#### Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

2022, with layout completed on 15 February. NPK fertilizers (urea, TSP, MP) were applied as recommended by BARI during the growth stage. Seedlings were uprooted and transplanted on 26 February using conventional methods. Intercultural operations included gap filling, manual weeding, herbicide application, flood irrigation, and pest control. Infestations by rice stem borers and green leafhoppers were managed with Furadan and Sumithion. Regular observations ensured the plants grew healthily, showing vigorous tiller growth without lodging. Data were collected from three randomly selected hills per plot at 30-day intervals until harvest. The crop was harvested on 1 June at full maturity. Post-harvest, each plot's crop was bundled, tagged, and threshed separately. The grains and straw were sun-dried, adjusted to 14% moisture, and yields were converted to tons per hectare. The field appeared healthy throughout the growing period, with no major disease incidences.

**Collection of experimental data:** The data recording procedure involved measuring plant height from three randomly selected plants in each plot at different stages (30, 60, 90, and 120 DAT) and at maturity. Total tillers, including both productive and unproductive, were counted from the same plants. Chlorophyll levels were measured using a SPAD-502 meter. At maturity, yield data were collected by uprooting three hills per plot, excluding border rows, and harvesting the crop from a 1m<sup>2</sup> area. Yield parameters recorded included plant height, effective and non-effective tillers per hill, panicle length, number of grains per panicle, filled and unfilled grains per panicle, 1000-grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield, and harvest index. Grain and straw yields were measured, dried, and converted to tons per hectare. Biological yield was calculated by summing grain and straw yields, and the harvest index was determined as the ratio of economic yield to biological yield.

**Statistical analysis:** The collected data underwent analysis utilizing the "STATVIEW" statistical package. Mean differences were evaluated employing Duncan's multiple-range test.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height (cm): There were no significant differences in plant height was observed between the two rice varieties at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after transplanting (DAT) and BRRI dhan  $58(V_2)$  produced comparatively taller plants than BRRI dhan 29 (V<sub>1</sub>) (Table 1). At 30 DAT, the tallest plant (19.73 cm) was observed in V<sub>2</sub> and the shortest plant (19.39 cm) was observed in V<sub>1</sub>. At 60 DAT, the highest plant height (37.60 cm) was observed in V<sub>2</sub> and the lowest plant height (36.39 cm) was noticed in  $V_1$ . Simmilarly at 90 and 120 DAT, the maximum plant heights (82.42 cm and 89.83 cm) were observed in  $V_2$  and the minimum plant heights (80.08 cm and 87.95 cm) were found in  $V_1$ . A noticeable effect on the plant height of rice was observed for different boron and magnesium fertilization at all observations (30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT) (Table 1). At 30 DAT, the highest plant height (20.11 cm) was found in T<sub>3</sub> due to the application of high rate of boron and magnesium and the lowest plant height (18.66 cm) was observed in  $T_1$  due to the application of low rate of boron and magnesium. At 60 DAT, the maximum plant height (38.67 cm) was observed in  $T_3$  and the minimum plant height (34.33 cm) was recorded at  $T_1$ . At 90 DAT, the tallest plant (84.16 cm) was recorded from  $T_3$  which was statistically simillar with  $T_2$  and the shortest plant (76.27 cm)was recorded in T<sub>1</sub>. Finally, at 120 days after transplanting, the maximum plant height (92.00 cm) was obtained from  $T_3$  which is statistically significant with  $T_1(83.81 \text{ cm})$ . Statistically non-Significant differences in plant height were observed in case of interaction between rice varieties and different boron and magnesium fertilization at all observations except at 60 DAT (Table 1). At 30 DAT, the highest value for plant height (20.23 cm) was found in combination of  $V_2$  with  $T_3$  which is statistically similar with all other combinations and lowest value for plant height was found in the combined effect of  $V_1$  with  $T_1$ . At 60 DAT, the highest plant height (39.44 cm) was observed from  $V_2T_3$  and lowest plant height (33.63 cm) was observed from  $V_1T_1$ . In case of 90 and 120 DAT, the highest plant found in the combination of  $V_2T_3$  (85.94 and 93.54cm, respectively) and the lowest corresponding values were observed in  $V_1T_1$  (76.05, and 83.12 cm, respectively).

**Total number of tiller hill**<sup>-1</sup> : There were non-significant variation case of total number of tillers per hill of the varieties were observed (Table 1). The maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (17.29) was noticed in BRRI dhan58 and the minimum no of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (16.81) was found in BRRI dhan29. Significant differences were observed due to boron and magnesium fertilization on total no of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> of rice (Table 2). The highest number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (17.83) was found in T<sub>3</sub> which is reduced significantly by 11.22% in T<sub>1</sub>. Interaction effects of rice varieties and different doses of boron and magnesium

Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

application showed non-significant values in case of total no of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> which is presented in **Table 1**. Interaction of  $V_2$  with  $T_3$  exhibit highest no of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>(18.11) and lowest no of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>(15.78) was found in  $V_1T_1$ .

**Chlorophyll Content (ChN**<sub>SPAD</sub>): Leaf chlorophyll content of rice varieties were measured on 30 and 60 DAT and presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences within the rice varieties at all observations (30 and 60 DAT). At 30 DAT, maximum chlorophyll content (46.08) was found in V<sub>2</sub> and minimum value (44.55) for chlorophyll content was observed in V<sub>1</sub>. At 60 DAT, chlorophyll content was highest (44.54) in V<sub>2</sub> and lowest (41.89) in V<sub>1</sub>. Chlorophyll content of rice showed statistically significant result due to different boron and magnesium fertilizations (**Table 1**). At 30 DAT, highest chlorophyll content (46.27) was observed in T<sub>3</sub> which reduced slightly by 1.52% in T<sub>2</sub> but significantly by 11.50% for T<sub>1</sub>. At 60 DAT, highest chlorophyll content (46.27) was observed in T<sub>3</sub> which is statistically similar with T<sub>2</sub> and reduced significantly by 16.90 in T<sub>1</sub>. Significant interaction was found between varieties and boron and magnesium fertilization in chlorophyll content of rice (**Table 1**). At 30 DAT maximum chlorophyll content (48.45) was found in the combination of V<sub>2</sub> with T<sub>3</sub> and the minimum (38.81) was observed in V<sub>1</sub> with T<sub>1</sub>.

**Effective tiller hill**<sup>-1</sup>: Statistically non-significant differences were observed in no of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> of rice presented in **Table 1**. The highest number of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (12.48) was observed in V<sub>2</sub> and the lowest (11.74) was in V<sub>1</sub>. Number of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> was significantly affected due to different doses of boron and magnesium fertilizers (**Table 1**). The highest number of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (13.22) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest (10.44) was in T<sub>1</sub>. The interaction effect between rice varieties and different boron and magnesium fertilization on effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> showed significant results (**Table 1**). Numerically the highest number of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (13.67) was found in the combination of V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest number of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.22) was found in the combination of V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub>.

**Non-Effective tiller hill**<sup>-1</sup>: Number of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> was non-significant in case of rice varieties (**Table 1**). The highest number of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (5.07) was observed in V<sub>1</sub> and the lowest (5.87) was in V<sub>2</sub>. Number of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> was not significantly affected due to different boron and magnesium fertilizer rates (**Table 1**). The highest number of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (5.39) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> and the lowest (4.61) was in T<sub>3</sub>. The interaction effect between rice varieties and different phosphorus fertilization on non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (5.55) was found in the combination of V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest number of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (4.44) was found in the combination of V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub>.

**Panicle Length (cm):** No remarkable difference in the length of the panicle was observed between the two rice varieties (**Table 2**).  $V_2$  produced a comparatively higher value (20.23 cm) than that of  $V_1$  (19.51 cm). Boron and magnesium fertilization had a remarkable effect on the rice panicle length (**Table 2**). In this case, the highest value (20.53 cm) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub>, which significantly reduced by 0.97% and 5.94% in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>, respectively. Statistically significant effect was observed in the length of panicle due to the interaction between rice varieties and boron and magnesium fertilization (**Table 2**). The highest length of the panicle (20.65 cm) was obtained from the treatment combination of  $V_2T_3$  and the lowest length of panicle (19.21cm) was found in treatment combination of  $V_1T_1$ .

**Grains Panicle**<sup>-1</sup>: There was non significant differences in no of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was observed between the two rice varieties and V<sub>2</sub> produced a maximum (164.11) grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and which was 2.76% higher than V<sub>1</sub> (**Table 2**). Boron and magnesium fertilization significantly influenced no of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(**Table 2**). The highest grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (171.33) was recorded from T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest no of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (148.11) was recorded from T<sub>1</sub>. Statistically significant effect was observed in no of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> due to the interaction between variety and different doses of boron and magnesium fertilizer (**Table 2**). The highest grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (175.11) was acquired from V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (147.67) was achieved from V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub>.

**Number of effective grains panicle**<sup>-1</sup>: There was non significant differences in no of effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was observed between the two rice varieties where  $V_2$  produced a maximum (128.67) no of effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and which was 4.72% higher than  $V_1$  (**Table 2**). Boron and magnesium fertilization significantly influenced no of effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (**Table 2**). The highest value for effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (137.00) was recorded from  $T_3$  and the lowest no of effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (108.66) was recorded from  $T_1$ . Statistically non-significant effect was observed in no of effective grains

#### Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

panicle<sup>-1</sup> due to the interaction between variety and different doses of boron and magnesium fertilizer (**Table 2**). The highest effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (142.85) was recorded from  $V_2T_3$  and the lowest no of effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (107.89) was observed from  $V_1T_1$ .

**Number of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>:** Non significant differences in no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was observed between the two rice varieties. In this case,  $V_1$  produced a maximum (37.07) non –effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and which was 4.60 % higher than  $V_2$  (**Table 2**). Influence of Boron and magnesium fertilization on of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was no significant(**Table 2**). The highest no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (39.44) was recorded from  $T_1$  and the lowest no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (34.33) was recorded from  $T_3$ . Statistically non-significant effect was observed in no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> due to the interaction between variety and different doses of boron and magnesium fertilizer (**Table 2**). The highest no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (39.78) was observed from  $V_1T_1$  and the lowest no of non-effective grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (32.66) was recorded from  $V_2T_3$ .

**1000 grain weight (g):** No remarkable difference in 1000 grain weight (g) was found between two rice varieties and maximum 1000 grain weight (23.10g) was found in  $V_2$  and minimum was found in  $V_1$  (22.80g) (**Table 2**). Different boron and magnesium fertilization significantly influence 1000 grain weight (g) of rice varieties (**Table 2**). The highest 1000 grain weight (23.29g) was found in  $T_3$  and the lowest 1000 grain weight (22.40g)was recorded from  $T_1$ . No remarkable effect was observed in 1000 grain weight (g) due to the interaction between variety and different boron and magnesium fertilization(**Table 2**). The highest 1000 grain weight (23.47 g) was recorded from  $V_2T_3$  and the lowest 1000 grain weight (22.34 g) was obtained from  $V_1T_1$ .

**Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** Rice varieties did not differ significantly in case of grain yield. Numerically, V<sub>2</sub> showed the highest value (6.27 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) than V<sub>1</sub>(6.14 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). In this case, V<sub>2</sub> exceeded V<sub>1</sub> by 2.07% (**Table 2**). Grain yield is significantly influenced by different boron and magnesium fertilizer doses(**Table 2**). The highest grain yield (6.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>3</sub> which reduced slightly by 1.38% in T<sub>2</sub> and significantly by 11.71% in T<sub>1</sub>. The interaction between variety and boron and magnesium fertilization had a statistically significant effect on grain yield of rice varieties (**Table 2**). Interaction of V<sub>2</sub> with T<sub>3</sub> produced the highest grain yield (6.56 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), while V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub> produced the lowest grain yield (5.62 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** There was no significant difference in straw yield was viewed between two rice varieties of which  $V_2$  produced the highest value (7.88 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (**Table 2**). This value was 3.27% higher than that in  $V_1$ . The application of different boron and magnesium fertilizer significantly influences straw yield of rice vareities (**Table 2**). The highest straw yield (8.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from  $T_3$  which reduced slightly by 1.23% in  $T_2$  and significantly by 11.23% in  $T_1$ . Statistically non-significant effect was observed in straw yield due to the interaction between variety and boron and magnesium fertilization (**Table 2**). The highest straw yield (8.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved from  $V_2T_3$  and the lowest straw yield (7.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from  $V_1T_1$ .

**Biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** There was no remarkable difference in biological yield was noticed between the two rice varieties in which V<sub>2</sub> produced the highest value (14.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). This value was 2.76% higher than that in V<sub>1</sub>(**Table 2**). Biological yield is significantly influenced by different doses of boron and magnesium fertilizers (**Table 2**). The highest biological yield (14.57 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>3</sub>, which reduced only by 1.24% in T<sub>2</sub>, but significantly by 11.32% in T<sub>1</sub>. Statistically non-significant effect was observed in biological yield due to the interaction effect of variety and boron and magnesium fertilization (**Table 2**). The highest biological yield (14.79 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest biological yield (12.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub>.

**Harvest index (%):** Statistically non sifnificant difference in harvest index was found between two rice varieties (**Table 2**). The highest value for harvest index(44.56) was found in V<sub>1</sub> which is only reduced by 0.49% in V<sub>2</sub>. Significant differences were observed due to boron and magnesium fertilization in case of harvest index of rice (**Table 2**). The highest harvest index (44.56) was rocorded from T<sub>3</sub>, and lowest (44.32) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. The harvest index showed a statistically non-significant effect due to the interaction between variety and boron and magnesium fertilization. The highest harvest index(44.79) was achieved from V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and the lowest harvest index (44.17) was obtained from V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub> (**Table2**).

Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

# Table 1: Varietal difference , Effect of different doses of boron and magnesium and Interaction effectss in plant height, total number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup>, No of effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> No of non-effective tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> and Chlorophyll Content (ChNSPAD) of boro rice.

| Variety                       | Plant Height (cm) |              |             |              | Total no of   | No of effective | No of non-              | Chlorophyll Content<br>(ChNSPAD) |              |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
|                               | 30DAT             | 60 DAT       | 90DAT       | 120DAT       | tiller hill - | tiller hill -   | effective tiller fill - | 60DAT                            | 90DAT        |  |  |
| Vı                            | 19.39±0.35        | 36.39±0.99   | 80.08±1.81  | 87.95±2.03   | 16.81±0.44    | 11.74±0.60      | 5.07±0.17               | 44.55±1.29                       | 41.89±2.10   |  |  |
| $V_2$                         | 19.73±0.40        | 37.60±1.1    | 82.42±2.07  | 89.83±2.10   | 17.29±0.50    | 12.48±0.70      | 4.81±0.24               | 46.08±1.33                       | 44.54±2.12   |  |  |
| LS                            | NS                | NS           | NS          | NS           | NS            | NS              | NS                      | NS                               | NS           |  |  |
| CV%                           | 5.31              | 7.37         | 6.28        | 6.31         | 7.13          | 14.14           | 12.32                   | 7.61                             | 13.62        |  |  |
| Treatments                    |                   |              |             |              |               |                 |                         |                                  |              |  |  |
| Treatments                    | Plant Height (cm) |              |             |              | Total no of   | No of effective | No of non-              | Chlorophyll Content<br>(ChNSPAD) |              |  |  |
|                               | 30DAT             | 60 DAT       | 90DAT       | 120DAT       | tiller hill"  | tiller hill"    | effective tiller hill"  | 60DAT                            | 90DAT        |  |  |
| T1                            | 18.66±0.23b       | 34.33±0.89b  | 76.27±1.59b | 83.81±1.92b  | 15.83±0.43b   | 10.44±0.46b     | 5.39±0.10               | 41.95±1.22b                      | 38.45±2.20b  |  |  |
| T2                            | 19.91±0.42ab      | 37.98±1.01a  | 83.33±1.10a | 90.85±2.17ab | 17.50±0.53a   | 12.67±0.71a     | 4.83±0.19               | 46.69±1.27a                      | 44.92±2.33ab |  |  |
| T3                            | 20.11±0.47a       | 38.67±1.19a  | 84.16±2.24a | 92.00±2.19a  | 17.83±0.42a   | 13.22±0.74a     | 4.61±0.34               | 47.40±1.43a                      | 46.27±2.26a  |  |  |
| LS                            | 0.05              | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05         | 0.05          | 0.05            | NS                      | 0.05                             | 0.05         |  |  |
| CV%                           | 5.31              | 7.37         | 6.28        | 6.31         | 7.13          | 14.14           | 12.32                   | 7.61                             | 13.62        |  |  |
| Interaction                   |                   |              |             |              |               |                 |                         |                                  |              |  |  |
| Interaction                   | Plant Height (cm) |              |             |              | Total no of   | No of effective | No of non-              | Chlorophyll Content<br>(ChNSPAD) |              |  |  |
|                               | 30DAT             | 60 DAT       | 90DAT       | 120DAT       | uner mit -    | tiller filli    | effective tiller hill - | 60DAT                            | 90DAT        |  |  |
| V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> | 8.50±0.25         | 33.63±1.26b  | 76.05±2.56  | 83.12±3.16   | 15.78±0.62    | 10.22±0.73b     | 5.55±0.11               | 41.42±1.96                       | 38.31±3.51   |  |  |
| $V_1T_2$                      | 19.69±0.52        | 37.63±1.41ab | 81.82±3.23  | 90.25±3.38   | 17.11±0.78    | 12.22±1.06ab    | 4.89±0.30               | 45.89±2.15                       | 43.25±3.78   |  |  |
| $V_1T_3$                      | 19.99±0.72        | 37.91±1.54ab | 82.38±3.23  | 90.46±3.29   | 17.56±0.68    | 12.78±0.97ab    | 4.78±0.29               | 46.35±2.05                       | 44.11±4.05   |  |  |
| $V_2T_1$                      | 18.83±0.41        | 35.03±1.33ab | 76.45±2.46  | 84.49±2.84   | 15.89±0.73    | 10.67±0.69ab    | 5.22±0.11               | 42.48±1.81                       | 38.59±3.43   |  |  |
| $V_2T_2$                      | 20.14±0.76        | 38.33±1.73ab | 84.83±2.69  | 91.45±3.44   | 17.89±0.80    | 13.11±1.10ab    | 4.77±0.29               | 47.29±1.73                       | 46.59.3.17   |  |  |
| $V_2T_3$                      | 20.23±0.74        | 39.44±2.03a  | 85.94±3.38  | 93.54±3.30   | 18.11±0.59    | 13.67±1.26a     | 4.44±0.68               | 48.45±2.20                       | 48.44±2.12   |  |  |
| LS                            | NS                | 0.05         | NS          | NS           | NS            | 0.05            | NS                      | 0.05                             | 0.05         |  |  |
| CV%                           | 5.31              | 7.37         | 6.28        | 6.31         | 7.13          | 14.14           | 12.32                   | 7.61                             | 13.62        |  |  |

Mean values in a column having the same letters or without letter do not differ significantly as per DMRT, CV= Co-efficient of variation, LS= Level of significant, DAT= Days After Transplanting, V<sub>1</sub>=BRRI dhan29, V<sub>2</sub>= BRRI dhan58, T<sub>1</sub>=Control (Only Recommended NPK), T<sub>2</sub> = Low rate of boron and magnesium, T<sub>3</sub> = High rate of boron and magnesium

### Table 2: Varietal difference, Effect of different doses of boron and magnesium and Interaction effects at yield and yield component of boro rice.

| Variety        | Panicle<br>Length (cm) | Number of<br>Grain panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Eff. of Grain<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Non Eff. of<br>Grain panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000 Grain<br>Weight (g) | Grain Yield<br>(tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw Yield<br>(tha-1) | Biological<br>Yield (tha <sup>.</sup><br>') | Harvest<br>Index (%) |  |  |  |
|----------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| V <sub>1</sub> | 19.91±0.31             | 159.70±5.23                              | 122.63±6.46                            | 37.07±1.34                                 | 22.80±0.19               | 6.14±0.19                           | 7.63±0.23              | 13.77±0.41                                  | 44.56±0.26           |  |  |  |
| V2<br>LS       | 20.20±0.30<br>NS       | 164.11±5.50<br>NS                        | 128.67±6.93<br>NS                      | 35.44±1.49<br>NS                           | 23.10±0.25<br>NS         | 6.27±0.17<br>NS                     | 7.88±0.24<br>NS        | 14.15±0.40<br>NS                            | 44.34±0.18<br>NS     |  |  |  |
| CV%            | 4.02                   | 8.59                                     | 13.97                                  | 10.97                                      | 2.59                     | 7.35                                | 8.3                    | 7.76  | 1.64                 |  |  |  |
| Treatments     |                        |  |  |  |                          |                                     |                        |   |                      |  |  |  |
| Treatments     | Panicle<br>Length (cm) | Number of<br>Grain panicle-1             | Eff. of Grain<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Non Eff. of<br>Grain panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000 Grain<br>Weight (g) | Grain Yield<br>(tha-1)              | Straw Yield<br>(tha-1) | Biological<br>Yield (tha <sup>.</sup><br>') | Harvest<br>Index (%) |  |  |  |
| $T_1$          | 19.31±0.18b            | 148.11±3.79b                             | 108.66±5.12b                           | 39.44±1.44                                 | 22.40±0.12b              | 5.73±0.21b                          | 7.19±0.24b             | 12.92±0.44b                                 | 44.32±0.38           |  |  |  |
| $T_2$          | 20.33±0.34a            | 166.28±5.84a                             | 131.28±7.22a                           | 35.00±1.52                                 | 23.17±0.25a              | 6.40±0.13a                          | 8.00±0.24ab            | 14.39±0.37a                                 | 44.48±0.24           |  |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 20.53±0.35a            | 171.33±5.73a                             | 137.00±7.25a                           | 34.33±1.60                                 | 23.29±0.28a              | 6.49±0.16a                          | 8.10±0.25a             | 14.57±0.40a                                 | 44.56±0.19           |  |  |  |
| LS             | 0.05                   | 0.05                                     | 0.05                                   | NS   | 0.05                     | 0.05                                | 0.05                   | 0.05  | NS                   |  |  |  |
| CV%            | 4.02                   | 8.59                                     | 13.97                                  | 10.97                                      | 2.59                     | 7.35                                | 8.3                    | 7.76  | 1.64                 |  |  |  |
| Interaction    |                        |  |  |  |                          |                                     |                        |   |                      |  |  |  |
| Interaction    | Panicle<br>Length (cm) | Number of<br>Grain panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Eff. of Grain<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Non Eff. of<br>Grain panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000 Grain<br>Weight (g) | Grain Yield<br>(tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw Yield<br>(tha-1) | Biological<br>Yield (tha <sup>.</sup><br>') | Harvest<br>Index (%) |  |  |  |
| $V_1T_1$       | 19.21±0.32             | 147.67±6.17b                             | 107.89±8.36                            | 39.78±2.40                                 | 22.34±0.21               | 5.62±0.36b                          | 7.10±0.41              | 12.72±0.75                                  | 44.17±0.67           |  |  |  |
| $V_1T_2$       | 20.18±0.55             | 163.89±9.60ab                            | 128.44±11.31                           | 35.44±1.96                                 | 22.98±0.33               | 6.36±0.20ab                         | 7.87±0.37              | 14.23±0.57                                  | 44.74±0.38           |  |  |  |
| $V_1T_3$       | 20.41±0.60             | 167.55±9.25ab                            | 131.55±11.73                           | 36.00±2.50                                 | 23.10±0.34               | 6.42±0.22ab                         | 7.92±0.36              | 14.34±0.58                                  | 44.79±0.28           |  |  |  |
| $V_2T_1$       | 19.41±0.22             | 148.55±5.79ab                            | 109.44±7.79                            | 39.11±2.11                                 | 22.46±0.15               | 5.83±0.29ab                         | 7.28±0.33              | 13.11±0.60                                  | 44.47±0.51           |  |  |  |
| $V_2T_2$       | 20.53±0.49             | 168.67±8.53ab                            | 134.11±11.17                           | 34.56±2.74                                 | 23.36±0.41               | 6.43±0.21ab                         | 8.12±0.36              | 14.56±0.58                                  | 44.22±0.28           |  |  |  |
| $V_2T_3$       | 20.65±0.51             | 175.11±8.04a                             | 142.45±9.80                            | 32.66±1.95                                 | 23.47±0.45               | 6.56±0.26a                          | 8.24±0.40              | 14.79±0.66                                  | 44.34±0.22           |  |  |  |
| LS             | NS                     | 0.05                                     | NS                                     | NS   | NS                       | 0.05                                | NS                     | NS  | NS                   |  |  |  |
| CV%            | 4.02                   | 8.59                                     | 13.97                                  | 10.97                                      | 2.59                     | 7.35                                | 8.3                    | 7.76  | 1.64                 |  |  |  |

Mean values in a column having the same letters or without letter do not differ significantly as per DMRT, CV= Co-efficient of variation, LS= Level of significant, DAT= Days After Transplanting,  $V_{1-}$ BRRI dhan29,  $V_{2-}$  BRRI dhan58,  $T_1$ =Control (Only Recommended NPK),  $T_2$  = Low rate of boron and magnesium,  $T_3$  = High rate of boron and magnesium



Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The experiment conducted at the University of Rajshahi investigated the effects of varying doses of boron and magnesium on the growth and yield of boro rice, specifically BRRI dhan29 and BRRI dhan58. Conducted from January to June 2022, the study utilized a Randomized Complete Block Design on High Ganges River Floodplain soil. Key growth parameters and yields were measured at multiple intervals and statistically analyzed. Results indicated that BRRI dhan58 generally outperformed BRRI dhan29 in most growth and yield parameters, such as plant height, tiller count, chlorophyll content, and grain yield. The high dose of boron and magnesium (15 kg/ha and 24 kg/ha, respectively) significantly enhanced these parameters, with the combination of BRRI dhan58 and the high dose treatment (T<sub>3</sub>) yielding the best results overall. The findings suggest that BRRI dhan58, in combination with higher doses of boron and magnesium, is optimal for increasing rice yield, recommending this combination to farmers for better productivity.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, W., Niaz, A., Kanwal, S., Rahmatullah and Rasheed, M. K. (2009) Role of boron in plant growth: a review. *J. Agric. Res.* **47**:1122–1134.
- [2] Ali, H., Sarwar, N., Muhammad, S., Farooq, O., Rehman, A. U., Wasaya, A., ... & Akhtar, M. N. (2021). Foliar Application of Magnesium at Critical Stages Improved the Productivity of Rice Crop Grown under Different Cultivation Systems. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 4962.
- [3] Ali, S., Raza, S. A., Butt, S. J. and Sarwar, Z. (2016). Effect of foliar boron application on rice growth and final crop harvest. Agric. Food Sci. Res. 3(2): 49-52.
- [4] APCAS (Asia and pacific commission on agricultural statistics). (2016). Agriculture Market Information System (AMIS) in Bangladesh. Twenty-sixth session on Asia and Pacific Commission Agriculture Statistics. pp. 15–19.
- [5] Biswas, B., Dey, D., Pal, S., &Kole, N. (2013). Integrative effect of magnesium sulphate on the growth of flowers and grain yield of paddy: a chemist's perspective. *Rasyan Journal of Chemistry*, *6*(4), 300-302.
- [6] Brahi, A., Karaman, M. R., Topbaş, M. T., Aktaş, A., &Savaşli, E. (2000). Effect of potassium and magnesium fertilization on yield and nutrient content of rice crop grown on artificial siltation soil. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 24(4), 429-436.
- [7] BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). (2011). AdhunikDhanerChash (in bengali). Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur. p. 5.
- [8] Choudhury, A. T. M. A., &Khanif, Y. M. (2002). Effects of magnesium fertilization on rice yield, magnesium and potassium uptake by rice at variable applied potassium levels. *Biological Sciences-PJSIR*, *45*(5), 345-349.
- [9] Ding, Y., Luo, W., & Xu, G. (2006). Characterisation of magnesium nutrition and interaction of magnesium and potassium in rice. *Annals of Applied Biology*, *149*(2), 111-123.
- [10] Dunn, D., Stevens, G. and Kendig, A. (2005). Boron fertilization of rice with soil andfoliar applications. Plant Manag. Network. 1: 1-7.
- [11] Fakir, O. A., Rahman, M. A. and Jahiruddin, M. (2016). Effects of Foliar Application of Boron (B) on the Grain Set and Yield of Wheat (Triticumaestivum L.). American J. Expt. Agric. 12(2): 1-8.
- [12] Gowri, S. (2005). Physiological studies on aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). M.Sc.Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore, India.
- [13] Hussain, M., Khan, M. A., Khan, M. B., Farooq, M., Farooq, S. (2012). Boron application improves the growth, yield and net economic return of rice. Rice Sci. 19: 259–262.
- [14] IPNI (International Plant Nutrition Institute). (2014). Why is micronutrient availability so patchy in a field? No. 2. Peachtree Corners, Georgia, USA.
- [15] Islam, M. R., Riasat, T. M. and Jahiruddin, M. (2007). Direct and residual effects of S, Zn and B on yield, nutrient uptake in a rice-mustard cropping system. J.Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 45(10): 126-129.
- [16] Jahiruddin, M., Islam, M. N., Hashem, M. A. and Islam, M. R. (2004). Influence of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield and nutrient uptake of BR2 rice. Progress. Agric. 5(1): 61-67.

Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp: (1-8), Month: July - August 2024, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

- [17] Khan, R., Gurmani, A. H., Gurmani, A. R. and Zia, M. S. (2007). Effects of boron application on rice yield under wheat rice system. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 8(6): 805- 808.
- [18] Kueneman, F. A. (2006). Improved rice production in a changing environment: From concept to practice. *Intl. Rice Comm. Newsl.* **55**:1-20.
- [19] Maclean, J. L., Dawe, D. C., Hardy, B. and Hettel, G. P. (2002). Rice Almanac. Los Banos (Philippines): International Rice Research institute, Bouake (Cote d'Ivoire): West Africa Rice Development Association, Cali (Colombia): International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Rome (Italy). pp. 253.
- [20] Misra, A. K., Nayar, P. K. and Patnaik, S. (1989). Effect of flooding on extractableZn, Cu, B and Mo in soils and their relation with yield and uptake of thesenutrients of rice. Indian J. Agril. Sci. 59(7): 415-421.
- [21] Muralidharan, P. and Jose, A. I. (2005). Influence of applied micronutrients on theavailability and uptake of zinc, copper and manganese in rice. J. Tropic. Agric. 33(1): 89-91.
- [22] Patil, Y. J., Patil, H. M., Bodake, P. S., Lende, N. S. and Patil, V.S. (2017). Effect ofsoil application of boron on growth, yield and soil properties of lowland paddy. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 5(5): 972-975
- [23] Podder, S. (2017). Response OfBoro Rice To Foliar Spray Of Zinc And Boron. M. S.Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-207, Bangladesh.
- [24] Raimani, H. and Singh, A. K. (2015). Effect of iron and zinc on growth, flowering and bulb yield in lilium. *Int. J. Agric. Environ. Biotec.* **8**: 61-64.
- [25] Rani, P. S., &Latha, A. (2017). Effect of calcium, magnesium and boron on nutrient uptake and yield of rice in Kole lands of Kerala. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, *51*(4), 388-391.
- [26] Rashid, A., Yasin, M., Ali, MA., Ahmad, Z. and Ullah, R. (2007) An alarming boron deficiency in calcareous rice soils of Pakistan: boron use improves yield and cooking quality. In: Xu F (ed) Advances in plant and animal boron nutrition. Proc 3rd international symposium on all aspects of plant and animal boron nutrition, Wuhan, China, 9–13 Sep 2005. Springer, Dordrecht. pp 103–116.
- [27] Reddy, B., Umesha, C., kiran, P. and Reddy, D. (2020). Effect of Zinc and Boron Levels on Growth, Yield and Economics of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) var. Shiats Dhan-1. Int. J. Curr. Micro. App. Sci. 9(12): 826-832.
- [28] Ruan, J. Y., Ma, L. F., and Yang, Y. J. (2012). Magnesium nutrition on accumulation and transport of amino acids in tea plants. J. Sci. Food Agric. 92, 1375–1383. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.4709
- [29] Saleem, M., Khanif, A. Y. M., Fauziah, C., Samsuri, A. W. and Hafeez, B. (2010). Effectiveness of borax and colemanite as boron sources for rice grown in flooded acidic soil. 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World 1-6 August, 2010, Brisbane, Australia. Published on DVD.
- [30] Sarkar, M. A. R., Paul, S. K. and Paul, U. (2017). Effect of water and weed management in *boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan28) in Bangladesh. *Archive. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 2(4): 325–329.
- [31] Senbayram, M., Gransee, A., Wahle, V., and Thiel, H. (2015). Role of magnesium fertilisers in agriculture: plant-soil continuum. Crop Pasture Sci. 66, 1219–1229. doi: 10.1071/CP15104
- [32] Shafiq, M. and Maqsood, T. (2010). Response of rice to model based applied boronfertilizer. J. Agric. Res. 48(3): 37-48.
- [33] Singh, B. P., Singh, A. and Singh, B. N. (2002). Response of rice (Oryza sativa) tozinc and boron application in acid Alfisols under mid altitude condition of Meghalaya. Indian J. Agril. Sci. 69(1): 70-71.
- [34] Sipahutar, I. A., Siregar, A. F., & Anggria, L. (2021). Magnesium and silicon fertilizer application to promote rice growth and production. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 648, No. 1, p. 012064). IOP Publishing.
- [35] Yang, X. D., Sun, S. Q. and Li, Y. Q. (1999) Boron deficiency causes changes in the distribution of major polysaccharides of pollen tube wall. *Acta. Bot. Sin.* **41**: 1169–1176.
- [36] Yusuf, H. K. M. (1997). In: Report of the sustainable Food security Mission in Bangladesh (FAO, Rome), Dhaka. 1997.